

## Actions Taken to Address Public Input on the Draft EAR

#	Public Comment	EAR Action
<b>Future Land Use</b>		
1	Cumulative impacts of development of 72 individual acres need to be accounted for.	Objective CI-1.2 and the subsequent policies were revised to address the public facility level of service (LOS) standards.
2	Don't put buffers in single-family areas; put the buffer on the property creating the impact.	Policies LU-1.1.3, LU-1.6.9, and HO-1.1.5 were revised to address buffering.
3	Don't allow unlimited height adjacent to single-family designations.	Policies LU-1.1.3, LU-1.6.9, and HO-1.1.5 were revised to address buffering.
4	Create a low-rise office category.	Office designations (under the Interpretation of the Future Land Use Plan Map) were revised to include maximum floor area ratios.
5	Include height limits in the MCNP land use designation definitions.	Floor area ratios were included in the land use designation definitions.
6	Residents want better protection of neighborhoods.	Policy LU-1.1.11, Objective LU-1.5, and Policy LU-1.6.11
7	All of the City should not be a TCEA / Urban infill area.	Policies LU-1.1.11 and Policy TR-1.1.1
8	The City needs to improve historic preservation efforts (i.e. the Orange Bowl).	The project consultants recommend that the City consider adopting a Historical and Cultural Element.
9	The City needs to improve preservation efforts for historic homes and assets.	The project consultants recommend that the City consider adopting an Economic Element.
10	The MCNP should include a cultural and element, encompassing the corridor at Grand & Douglas (Special District 28) and other appropriate areas.	The project consultants recommend that the City consider adopting a Historical and Cultural Element.
11	Balance land uses among all neighborhoods.	Objective LU-1.3 and the following policies were revised to address development and redevelopment strategies in targeted areas of the City.
12	Need green buildings.	Goal PR-9, Objective PR-9.2, and the subsequent policies were completely revised with an emphasis on sustainability.
<b>Housing</b>		
13	FEC corridor and sidings should be put to better use.	Policy PR-1.4.2 was completely revised to improve this issue.
14	Protect housing from gentrification.	Objective HO-1.5 was revised to include gentrification.
15	Create a homeownership zone.	Policy HO-1.1.10 was created to address homeownership.
16	Create a rent-to-own zone.	Please reference Chapter XVI (Monitoring) of the City of Miami Consolidated Plan.
17	Create a land trust.	Please reference Chapter XVI (Monitoring) of the City of Miami Consolidated Plan.
18	Work to establish inclusionary zoning.	Please reference Chapter XVI (Monitoring) of the City of Miami Consolidated Plan.
19	The City needs more emphasis on affordable housing.	Policy HO-1.1.10 was created to strengthen the emphasis on affordable housing and to be consistent with the City of Miami Consolidated Plan.
20	The City needs more economic development and jobs – need workforce training and transit-oriented development.	The project consultants recommend that the City consider adopting an Economic Element.
21	Need to improve efforts for economic development (job	The project consultants recommend that the City consider adopting an

#	Public Comment	EAR Action
	creation) and affordable housing.	Economic Element.
22	Encourage major retail, commercial, and industrial developers to come to Liberty City (incentives)	The project consultants recommend that the City consider adopting an Economic Element.
23	Increased taxes overrun building costs.	The project consultants recommend that the City consider adopting an Economic Element.
24	Miami has many low-paying jobs, yet a high cost-of-living.	The project consultants recommend that the City consider adopting an Economic Element.
<b>Transportation</b>		
25	The 79 <sup>th</sup> St. population increase needs transit / transportation.	Policies TR-1.1.4, TR-1.1.6, and TR-1.5.13 were revised to address population issues.
26	Transit should be available to all neighborhoods.	Policies TR-1.1.4 and TR-1.1.6 were revised to address this issue.
27	Funding was increased for transit but was never applied.	Policy TR-1.1.4 was revised to address to this issue.
28	Each municipality should have and maintain its own transit system.	Policy TR-1.1.6 references this idea.
29	Water taxis – include a cross-reference between ports and transportation and recognize this as a commuter travel mode in transportation.	Policy TR-1.5.9 was revised to include water taxi commuter service.
30	Need to enhance multimodal transit.	Goal TR-1 and Policies TR-1.1.4, and TR-1.1.19 were revised to enhance multimodal transit.
31	Residents do not want reversible lanes on NW 7 <sup>th</sup> Avenue (a State Road) as currently proposed by Miami-Dade County.	Policy TR-1.4.1 was revised to address this point.
32	Ramp metering traffic lights at entrances to I-95 will cause queuing onto NW 7 <sup>th</sup> Avenue.	Policy TR-1.4.1 was revised to address this point.
33	Re-emphasize need for a Transit Hub with Structured Parking in Liberty City at NW 62 <sup>nd</sup> Street to provide parking solutions for NW 7 <sup>th</sup> Avenue.	Policy TR-1.1.14 was revised to address this issue.
34	NW 7 <sup>th</sup> Avenue parking deficit results in parking intrusion into single family neighborhoods.	Policy TR-1.1.8 relates to this issue.
35	Improve air quality by encouraging green highways and use of hybrid vehicles.	Policy TR-1.1.9 was revised to include these points.
36	High automobile dependency	Policies TR-1.1.4, TR-1.1.9, TR-1.1.17, TR-1.5.3, TR-1.5.7, TR-1.5.8, and TR-1.5.12 were revised to lessen the dependency on automobiles.
37	Poor public transportation	Policies TR-1.1.6, TR-1.5.1, TR-1.5.13, and TR-1.5.14 were revised to improve public transportation.
<b>Parks, Recreation and Open Space</b>		
38	Need more green space throughout the City.	Policy PR-1.1.2 was completely revised to address park land acquisition and acreage.
39	Biscayne Blvd. needs trees, maintenance, and water.	Policy PR-3.1.1 was entirely amended to address the implementation of shade tree planting and the 2007 Tree Master Plan.
40	More shade connections throughout the City.	Policies TR-1.5.8(B), PR-1.1.3, and PR-3.1.1
41	How will parks become available in a built-out city?	Policy PR-1.1.2 was revised to focus park land acquisition on the community

#	Public Comment	EAR Action
		preferences that emerged from the 2007 Parks Master Plan process.
42	Want more natural areas	Policy PR-1.1.2 was completely revised to address park land acquisition and acreage.
43	Park land acreage is low.	Policy PR-1.1.2 was completely revised to address park land acquisition and acreage.
44	What is the future of the parks system?	Policy PR-2.2.1 was completely revised to state that, among other things, the City has a no net-loss policy for public park land.
45	Support efforts for green space!	The Parks Element supports green space in its entirety. Policy PR-5.1.7 requires the City to create a Citywide Miami Parks Foundation by 2010.
46	Developing on park land is not appropriate.	Objective PR-2.1 and the subsequent policies were entirely revised to focus on protecting existing park land from such development.
47	Parks are not accessible to residents.	Objective PR-1.1 and the following policies were revised to improve accessibility. Objective PR-3.3 and the subsequent policies were entirely revised to address accessibility of handicapped persons and to provide opportunities for special needs groups.
48	Maintain the ecology of parks.	Policy LU-1.1.3(4)
49	There is no existing lighting in parks.	Policy PR-5.3.1 was revised to require that all parks be equipped with adequate energy efficient night lighting by 2012.
50	Partner with large corporations and residents in the area to adopt a park.	Policy PR-1.2.5 was entirely revised to address partnerships, although the Parks, Recreation and Open Space Element will undergo further amendments to maintain consistency with the Miami Parks and Public Spaces Master Plan and improve upon necessary issues.
51	Existing vacant green spaces with no signage – should be an opportunity for better parks.	Policy PR-3.1.2
52	Need additional parks in the Liberty Square housing area.	Refer to the NET Visions section of the Parks and Public Spaces Master Plan.
53	Build a relationship with school parks so residents can use them too.	Objective PR-1.3 and the subsequent policies address this idea.
54	Include more target dates and measurable goals.	Objective PR-1.5.1 requires the annual review of parks impact fees; Policy PR-2.2.2 sets target dates for year round swimming pools; Policy PR-3.1.2 sets target dates and mandates regular progress for the ParkWalks program; Policy PR-5.1.7 establishes a target date for the creation of Parks Foundation; Policy PR-5.2.3 establishes a target date for public participation procedures; Policy PR-5.3.1 sets a target date for energy efficient lighting
<b>Capital Improvement</b>		
55	CIP must be included – February or March Commission	The City's Capital Improvements Program and Schedule is shown in Appendix CI-1 of the MCNP.
56	Repeat neighborhood meetings once CIP is made part of the draft.	In addition to 3 committee group meetings and 10 neighborhood meetings, several other opportunities for public input occur, such as a Planning Advisory Board workshop and meeting and 2 City Commission meetings.
57	Capital improvements – density and intensity?	Objective CI-1.2 and Policy CI-1.2.2 were revised to specifically address this

#	Public Comment	EAR Action
		issue.
58	CIP should be part of the economic development strategy – infrastructure improvements generate jobs	The project consultants recommend that the City consider adopting an Economic Element.
59	Identify CIP areas in major need of improvement.	Refer to the City of Miami Capital Improvements Program and Multi-Year Capital Plan
<b>Intergovernmental Coordination</b>		
60	Lack of code enforcement throughout the City.	Policies LU-1.1.4 and LU-1.3.10 were revised to require the implementation of new code enforcement strategies and initiatives.
61	Improve coordination between the City and County, specifically on infrastructure and parks.	Policy IC-1.1.6 was revised to address this issue.
<b>Specific MCNP Language</b>		
62	EAR states no development denied based on unacceptable transportation LOS	Developments must meet certain LOS standards to be approved. Objective TR-1.1 and the subsequent policies were revised to address transportation LOS.
63	What is “appropriate”?	Different implementing documents guide different areas of the City.
64	Must have measurable Goals, Objectives, and Policies. For example, “X” number of meetings should occur or specific consequences will follow. Goals, Objectives, and Policies must be quantifiable and have consequences with “teeth”.	Numerous Goals, Objectives, and Policies throughout the MCNP were amended to tighten the language and to make it definite.
65	Explain revised park designation language. Language needs to be pinned down.	The Public Parks and Recreation paragraph under the Interpretation of the Future Land Use Plan Map section was revised to address this point.
66	In Policy PR-1.1.2, parks less than 3 acres are not mentioned.	Policy PR-1.1.1 was completely revised to recognize parks less than 3 acres (neighborhood parks).
67	Two Coast Guard Captains wrote letters stating the Ports element footnote should be removed.	The footnote is undergoing a required review by the City of Miami Office of the City Attorney to ensure legal accuracy and conformity.
68	In Policy PA-3.1, “land development regulations” should not be stricken	The “land development regulations” phrase was reinserted.
69	In Policy PA-3.1.1, garbled residential should be ancillary.	Policy PA-3.1.1 was revised to address this issue.
70	The Port of Miami River footnote should not cite the Coast Guard.	The footnote is undergoing a required review by the City of Miami Office of the City Attorney to ensure legal accuracy and conformity.
71	Policy CM-2.1.4 should be reinserted.	Policy CM-2.1.4 was reinserted.
72	In Policy PR-1.5.4, insert the words “Fisherman’s Wharf”.	“Fisherman’s Wharf” was inserted into Policy PR-6.1.4 (renumbered).
<b>Other Ideas and Concerns</b>		
73	School concurrency	Will be addressed in the forthcoming School Concurrency Element.
74	Schools are maxed – where will new schools go?	Will be addressed in the forthcoming School Concurrency Element.
75	Poor communication with citizens.	The MCNP mandates multiple opportunities for the public to receive updates on the plan process from the City.
76	Legislative budget cuts to schools are decreasing the quality of education.	Will be addressed in the forthcoming School Concurrency Element.
77	Residents need a 20,000 to 30,000 SF youth building at Gwen	The Parks, Recreation and Open Space Element will undergo further

#	Public Comment	EAR Action
	Cherry Park.	amendments to maintain consistency with the Miami Parks and Public Spaces Master Plan.
78	On 12 <sup>th</sup> Ave. between 62 <sup>nd</sup> St. to 67 <sup>th</sup> St., there needs to be a walkway honoring African American heritage.	The project consultants recommend that the City consider adopting a Historical and Cultural Element.
79	On 15 <sup>th</sup> Ave. between 62 <sup>nd</sup> St. and 71 <sup>st</sup> St., there should be historical landmarks recognizing African American culture.	The project consultants recommend that the City consider adopting a Historical and Cultural Element.